

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,883	02/27/2002	Gary W. Yeager	60LT1094-8	7809
23413 75	90 10/24/2003		EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH			AYLWARD, DAVID E	
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.					
Office Action Comments	09/683,883	YEAGER, GARY W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David E Aylward	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a r within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 45 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,10-14,20-24,26-30,33-35 and 40-44</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9,15-19,25,31,32 and 36-39</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	nriority under 35 H.S.C.	S 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.      Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu     * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has b	een received.				
Attachment(s)	· •					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Serial No. 09/683,883

Art Unit 1712

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 10-14, 20-24, 26-30, 33-35 and 40-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 01003223, JP '223 in view of Klinkenberg.
- 3. The Abstract supplied by the applicants of the instant specification is used as a guide to the contents of JP '223. This reference teaches an epoxy composition used in the formation of a metal laminate. This epoxy composition contains all the elements of the instant claims except the claimed flame retardant. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add this flame retardant to the composition of JP '223 because Klinkenberg teaches that this flame retardant is an art recognized flame retardant for thermosetting compositions (Abstract and column 4 line 50). It has been held that it is obvious to add a known ingredient for its known function (In re Linder 173 USPQ 356, In re Dial et al.140 USPQ 244).

Serial No. 09/683,883

Art Unit 1712

4. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as

4. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klinkenberg (4,039,538).

- 5. As indicated in the preceding rejection, Klinkenberg teaches the compound of instant claim 3 as an art recognized flame retardant for thermosetting composition. Thus the flame retardant of claim 4 would be obvious over the one of the preceding claim because it has been held it is obvious that compounds with similar structures will have similar properties (In re Gyurik, 596 F. 2d 1012, 201 USPQ 552 (CCPA 1979).
- 6. Claims 7-9, 15-19, 25, 31, 32 and 36-39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Aylward whose telephone number is (703) 308-2372. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Dawson, can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

DAylward:cdc October 14, 2003

Robert Dawson
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700